

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
BEAUFORT DIVISION

Henry P. Bennett,)	C.A. No. 9:09-1248-TLW-BM
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	ORDER
)	
Trooper Dale Owens, et. al,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

The Plaintiff brought this *pro se* civil action against the defendants under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff, a federal inmate, seeks monetary damages for alleged constitutional violations committed by law enforcement officers.

This matter is now before the undersigned for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed June 1, 2009, by United States Magistrate Judge Bristow Marchant, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.). In his Report, Magistrate Judge Marchant recommends that the complaint be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. The Plaintiff has not objected to the Report.

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to

give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

In light of this standard, the Court has carefully reviewed the Report and has concluded that the Report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report is **ACCEPTED** (Doc. # 11), and this action is **DISMISSED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/ Terry L. Wooten
TERRY L. WOOTEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

June 30, 2009
Florence, South Carolina